

S.J
375/102

 OFFICIAL APPLICATION-PROCESSING / STATUS-MEMO	
ATTN: Customer Service-center -Dept.Manager C/O: Office of Initial Pat.Examination (703/ 308-1202) FROM: Mr. Santiago Rivera (Sole-inventor) (619/299-0066)	RECEIVED FEB 07 2002 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700 <i>#7 Letter</i>
<small>(Note: -applicant's first-name believed provisionally misspelled(typo) as "Santagio")</small>	
POSTAL: 3350 Kurtz Street, SanDiego, California 92110	
APPLICATION ENTITLED: " Universal Faucet & Shower Economizing Suds-mixer "	
(IMPROPERLY RETITLED:	" Shower Suds-mixer "
PAT.PENDING SERIAL-NUMBER: Utility- <u>09/912,560</u> ; filed: 26/July/2000	
PARENT FILING-NUMBER/DATE: Provisional- 60/233,932; filed: 12/Sept./2000	

Dear Sirs:

This Memorandum serves to timely apprise the USPTO that as I am "sole-inventor" of the above identified Patent-application, and pursuant to my having filed the original Provisional/Pat.-application identified above, --my former business-associate by name of Mr. Ruben H. Lujan has proceeded to make the \$355.00 Utility-filing for me, serving to thereby at least preserve my original Provisional/filing-date within the requisite 1-yr./statute-term.

Accordingly, this Memo serves to notify the USPTO as to my intention to complete this timely subsequent-filing alone in the intended pro'se manner (no co-inventor), as I suspect the 26/July/01-filing might have mistakenly included my former business-associate's name as co-inventor, and possibly submitted via assistance of a misinformed Attorney- James A. LaBarre -via Law-firm/Burns,Duane,Swecker,Mathis of Alexandria, Va. (with whom I've never communicated nor authorized).

Thus, while the #09/912,560(26/July/2001) initial-filing has necessarily preserved my original/filing-date (12/Sept./2K), please know I am finalizing my original drawings, specification, claims, and IDS(info.disclosure statement w/pat.-copies) toward making the filing a properly formal one; --correctly showing only myself as pro-se filing inventor. Accordingly, any tentative power-of-attorney and co-inventorship must necessarily be treated by the USPTO as "unauthorized" by me, and erroneously submitted on behalf of my former business-associate. Apologizing for this inadvertent confusion, I appreciate your cooperation and patience as I finalize believed missing-parts (papers) in proper condition for ease of examination.

Sincerely,

DATE MAILED: ____ /Nov./2001

BY: 
 Santiago Rivera, Sole-inventor